



Paul Jeffrey  
Exeter City Council Planning Department

11 September 2019

Dear Mr Jeffrey

### **19/0962/FUL – Land at Pulling Land, Pinhoe**

Please find below comments from Exeter Cycling Campaign with respect to the above application. We welcome the low carbon credentials of the site design, We note that the development is described as well located in relation to an excellent pedestrian and cycle network. However, we would like **to object** for the following reasons:

### **Cycle Parking:**

There appears to be a complete lack of secure cycle-specific parking within the site for residents or visitors. Exeter City Council Sustainable Transport Supplementary Planning Guidance (SPD) says:

*Newbuild properties will always be expected to include cycle parking in accordance with the policy requirement.*

The SPD includes minimum standards for cycle parking (1-2 spaces per household) but adds:

*These are minimum standards; applicants should consider providing more parking if, for example, the site is well located in relation to high quality cycle routes. For extensions to existing premises, the use made of existing cycle stands may be a good guide to the appropriate level of future provision.*

### **Layout**

The overall permeability of site appears poor but we recognise that this is beyond the scope of this particular application. Pedestrian/cycle links to other associated developments would be preferable.

The access into the site is narrow and we remain unconvinced that conflicts between pedestrians/cyclists and vehicles will not occur.



Kind regards,

Carolyn Worfolk

for and on behalf of:

EXETER **CYCLING** CAMPAIGN

---

[exetercyclingcampaign.org.uk](http://exetercyclingcampaign.org.uk)

Twitter: [@ExeterCycling](https://twitter.com/ExeterCycling)

Facebook: ExeterCyclingCampaign